Senate Item for Review: Proposed Amendment to APM 028

Due Date: Please respond by May 6, 2009

Return to: Jaime Balboa, CAO, jbalboa@senate.ucla.edu

Relevant Links: http://www.ucop.edu/acadadv/acadpers/apm/apm_028.html
http://www.ucop.edu/acadadv/acadpers/apm/apm-028.pdf

On behalf of the UCLA Academic Senate Chair, review, including an endorsement of or opposition to the item (any recommendations made), is specifically requested of the following committees and councils:

CAP, FWC, COR, Executive Board

All other committees and councils are not required to opine, but they are welcome to. Minority reports are welcome and should be submitted with the response of the committee. Committees that respond in writing will be extended an invitation to discuss the matter with the Executive Board.

Please indicate if the committee supports the proposal as written, supports contingent upon revision (specify revisions), supports in concept but not as written, or does not support this proposal.

Background Information:

The Academic Senate has been asked by Interim Provost Pitts at the systemwide level, and Vice Chancellor Rice, at the campus level, to participate in a review of proposed technical amendments to APM 028.

APM 028 addresses requirements of the California Government Code which requires that state agencies have conflict of interest codes. The proposed changes reflect changes in the governing statute and its implementing regulations as well as changes in University administrative titles. In addition, some non-substantive grammatical corrections have been included.
The University invites comments to proposed technical revisions to Academic Personnel Policy 028 - Disclosure of Financial Interest in Private Sponsors of Research.

APM - 028 implements the requirements of the state Political Reform Act of 1975 (Government Code Section 87100 et seq.), which requires state agencies to have conflict of interest codes and to institute financial interest reporting and disclosure procedures for designated officials. The Academic Decision Regulation (California Code of Regulations Section 18702.4(c)(2)) governs the applicability of the Political Reform Act to researchers; it requires them to report to campus officials financial interests in non-governmental research sponsors, including investments, income, and gifts that may affect research decisions.

The proposed revisions reflect changes in the statute and regulations governing reporting of financial interests by researchers at California public universities, changes in job titles at the University of California, and non-substantive grammatical improvements. The revisions are technical only and do not constitute a comprehensive review of APM - 028.

• APM – 028 (Disclosure of Financial Interest in Private Sponsors of Research)

******************************************************************************

*A copy of Adobe Acrobat Reader is needed in order to open the PDF file; a free copy can be obtained from the Adobe web site. Get Acrobat Reader

RETURN TO ACADEMIC PERSONNEL MANUAL
028-0  **Policy**

The University of California policy on disclosure of financial interest in private sponsors of research (revised April 26, 1984, with technical updates made on xxxx xx, 2009) is set forth in the following pages.

028-10  **Guidelines**

The University of California guidelines on disclosure of financial interest in private sponsors of research are set forth in the following pages.
UNIVERSITY POLICY ON DISCLOSURE OF 
FINANCIAL INTEREST IN PRIVATE SPONSORS OF RESEARCH

I. Policy on Disclosure of Financial Interest in Private Sponsors of Research

A principal investigator must disclose whether or not he or she has a direct or indirect financial interest in the sponsor of research which is funded or supported in whole or in part (a) through a contract or grant with a non-governmental entity or (b) by a gift from a non-governmental entity which is earmarked by the donor for a specific research project or a specific principal investigator. Disclosure statements must be filed (a) before final acceptance of such a contract, grant, or gift; and (b) when funding is renewed; and (c) within 90 days after expiration in the case of a contract or grant, or after funds have been completely expended in the case of a gift. The Statements will be open to public inspection. When disclosure indicates that a financial interest exists, an independent substantive review of the disclosure statement and of the research project must take place prior to acceptance of the contract, grant, or gift. Department chairs must disqualify themselves from approving a research proposal for a project which is funded in whole or in part by a non-governmental entity in which they have a financial interest.

This policy is consistent with California Administrative Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 18705 18702.4(c).
II. Definitions

A. “Financial Interest.” A “financial interest” in the sponsor of research means:

1. A direct or indirect investment in the sponsor worth $2,000 or more than $1,000;

2. A position as director, officer, partner, trustee, employee of or any other position of management in the sponsor;

3. Income from the sponsor, including consulting income, and gifts aggregating $250 or more in value, received by or promised to the principal investigator within 12 months prior to the time the award is made. (For the purposes of this policy, “income” is further defined as in Gov. California Government Code, Section 82030.)

4. A gift or gifts aggregating $420 or more in value provided to, received by, or promised to the principal investigator within 12 months prior to the time the award is made.  

B. “Indirect Investment” or “Indirect Financial Interest.” A principal investigator has an “indirect investment” or “indirect financial interest” in a sponsor if:

1 The gift limit in the Political Reform Act, California Government Code Section 89503, is $250. This limit is adjusted biennially on January 1 of every odd-numbered year, based on a Consumer Price Index escalator. As of January 1, 2009, the gift limit was $420. California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 18940.2.
1. His or her spouse, registered domestic partner, or dependent child has a financial interest in the sponsor;

2. The principal investigator, his or her spouse, registered domestic partner, or dependent child own directly, indirectly, or beneficially a 10 percent interest or greater in any business entity or trust which has a financial interest in the sponsor of the research.

C. “Equity (Ownership) Interest.” For the purposes of this policy, an “equity (ownership) interest” shall be an investment of $2,000 or more than $1,000 in the sponsor by the principal investigator, his or her spouse, registered domestic partner, or dependent children.

III. Disclosure Requirement

When a principal investigator has disclosed a financial interest in a sponsor of research, the required financial disclosure statement on Fair Political Practices Commission Form 700-U shall contain:

A. Disclosure of Income

1. The name and address of the sponsor, and a general description of the business activity, if any, of the sponsor, and the amount of research funding;

2. A statement whether the aggregate value of income from the sponsor, or in the case of a loan, the highest amount owed to the sponsor, was at least $250 500
but did not exceed $1,000, whether it was in excess of $1,000 but was not
greater than $10,000, whether it was in excess of $10,000 but was not greater
than $100,000, or whether it was greater than $100,000,000;

3. In the case of a gift of $50 or more, a description of and the amount of the gift
and the date received; and

4. In the case of a loan, the annual interest rate and the security, if any, given for
the loan.

B. Disclosure of Equity or Ownership Interest and Disclosure of Position in Sponsor

When an investment or equity (ownership) interest is required to be disclosed, or
when the principal investigator is a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or
holds any position of management, the disclosure statement shall contain:

1. A general description statement of the principal business activity in which of
the sponsor is engaged;

2. A statement whether the fair market value of the investment or interest
exceeds $1,000,000 but does not exceed $10,000, whether it exceeds
$10,000, but does not exceed $100,000, whether it exceeds $100,000 but was
not greater than $1,000,000, or whether it exceeds $100,000,000; and

3. The position held in the entity by the principal investigator.

IV. Independent Substantive Review Committees
When disclosure indicates that a financial interest exists, an independent substantive review of the disclosure statement and research project shall take place before a contract, grant, or gift is accepted. Chancellors, the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Directors, and the Vice President—Agriculture and Natural Resources, after consultation with appropriate academic and administrative groups, shall develop a procedure for independent substantive review including the designation or establishment of a committee to conduct the review.

The committees shall review disclosure statements and relevant features of the research project and on the basis of the review recommend to the Chancellor, the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Director, or the Vice President—Agriculture and Natural Resources whether funding for the research project should be accepted and, if so, whether any modifications or conditions are needed. The committees shall be sufficiently qualified through the experience of their members to promote respect for their advice.

The committees should possess the academic, professional, and administrative competence and expertise necessary to review the subject matter of the proposed research and to assess the University and other public interests involved. The committee may include graduate students and one or more qualified members not affiliated with the University. The committee may consult with administrators, faculty, and others involved in the research and review process and the academic discipline in question. It may also consult with or request principal investigators and department chairs to provide information in order to make a fully informed recommendation. It may also invite individuals with competence in special areas to assist in the review process which require expertise beyond, or in addition to, that available to the
committee. The committee review should occur as early as possible in the overall review process to assure that commitments are not inadvertently made to the sponsors. The committee shall, in its written documentation of the review, address each of the principles set forth in the Guidelines. The basis for the recommendation should be clearly established in the documentation of the review.

V. Implementation

Chancellors, the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Directors, and the Vice President—Agriculture and Natural Resources shall implement this policy. They must provide the committees with appropriate administrative support, assure that technical advice on conflict of interest matters is provided, and assure that appropriate documents related to this policy are available to the public, as required by law.

The Senior Provost and Executive Vice President—Academic Affairs has responsibility for assuring compliance with applicable State law, this policy, and related University policies. He The Provost and Executive Vice President—Academic Affairs is responsible for developing and issuing implementing guidelines for this policy. He The Provost and Executive Vice President—Academic Affairs serves as the liaison on these matters with the Fair Political Practices Commission and with the campuses, Laboratories the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Director, and the Vice President—Agriculture and Natural Resources. He consults with the Senior Vice President—Administration when financial reporting by principal investigators affects the Senior Vice President—Administration’s Filing Officer responsibilities under the Political Reform Act.
GUIDELINES FOR DISCLOSURE AND REVIEW
OF PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR’S FINANCIAL INTEREST
IN PRIVATE SPONSORS OF RESEARCH

These Guidelines implement the University Policy on Disclosure of
Financial Interest in Private Sponsors of Research

I. Rationale

University research is currently reviewed according to the principles specified below and according to other well-established policies guiding the conduct of sponsored research, including the principle that researchers must be free to pursue knowledge and that this freedom, which is essential to the research process, must not lightly be abridged. The proposed review is supplemental to this process and takes advantage of the expertise and structure of the existing system. While it is normally the case that a principal investigator takes responsibility for the central issues raised in these guidelines, when a principal investigator has a financial interest of the sort defined in the University Conflict of Interest Code requiring disclosure, it is possible that his or her judgment may appear to be affected by potential financial gain rather than by the
pursuit of knowledge. Therefore, it is proper that the principal investigator, the
University community, and the public be assured that the principles central to the
research process be are followed.

II. Principles

A. Traditional conflict of interest situations should continue to be avoided

In the conventional sense, conflict of interest refers to situations in which
employees may have the opportunity to influence the University’s business
decisions in ways that could lead to personal gain or give advantage to associates
or firms entities in which employees have an interest. Principal investigators, like
all UC employees, are expected to continue to separate their University and private
interests in accordance with existing University policies and State law.

B. Research is appropriate to the University

The research must be appropriate to the mission of the University, i.e., promising
significant contributions to scholarship and knowledge and, when possible,
providing appropriate opportunities for students. The suitability of the research
would be judged according to the standards of the discipline and should be guided
by the principles and policies of Regulation 4, Special Services to Individuals and
Organizations.

C. The teaching and research environment is open
The teaching and research environment should continue to promote the free exchange of ideas, information, and materials among students and faculty in all of their forums—classrooms, laboratories, meetings, and anywhere in the University. Selection of students for participation in the research project should not be inappropriately influenced by the interest of the sponsoring firm entity.

D. **Freedom to publish and to disseminate research results is preserved**

Consistent with current University policies, there should be no limits placed on the freedom to publish, except for short periods of delay that permit a sponsor to comment or to permit filing of patent applications.

E. **Licensing agreements require thorough review**

If the principal investigator has a financial interest in the sponsoring firm entity, justification for granting of an exclusive license to the sponsoring firm entity will require careful review to ensure that the best interests of the public and the University are served. This review should be coordinated with the Patent Administrator for consideration in negotiations concerning patent rights.

F. **University facilities and resources are used appropriately**

As is currently the policy, University resources—supplies, equipment, and facilities, as well as staff time—must not be used for the benefit of the firm outside entity without proper compensation.
III. Procedures for conduct of committee review

Review committees should be guided by the following practices and apply them as appropriate.

A. Assure adherence to relevant University policies, guidelines, and regulations

These policies are identified; summarized, and paraphrased in the Business & Finance Bulletin G-39, Conflict of Interest Policy and Compendium of Specialized University Policies, Guidelines, and Regulations Related to Conflict of Interest issued on June 23, 1982 and revised on August 11, 1982.

B. Consider, to the extent possible, the nature and extent of the financial interest in the relationship of the principal investigator to the sponsoring entity

In addition, to the extent possible, the potential financial effects of the research both on the sponsor and on the principal investigator should be considered.

C. Give special consideration to:

1. Conditions of research agreements which involve:

   a. The testing of a sponsor’s products or inventions;

   b. Research conducted in the sponsor’s facilities;

   c. Research performed jointly with someone from an employee or agent of the sponsor.
d. Research involving the provision of proprietary information from the
sponsor.

2. The relationship between the principal investigator and the sponsor when the
principal investigator has:

a. A significant ownership interest in the sponsor;

b. The opportunity to receive substantial financial benefits from the sponsor
(e.g. bonuses, stock options); or

c. A long term or ongoing consulting relationship with the sponsor.

D. **Obtain additional information from the Principal Investigator when necessary**

Since committees may find it useful to get more information from the principal
investigator than is covered in the financial disclosure statement of Economic
Interests, Form 700-U, a sample form is provided (entitled the Principal
Investigator’s Addendum to Statement of Economic Interest) which includes
possible questions and also advice to the principal investigator about the purpose
of the request and its legal status.

In the event that such a sample form, or the like, is utilized, it must contain a
privacy statement.
E. **Apply the principles set forth in II above:**

Some questions which might be considered when appropriate are:

1. Do the facts and circumstances suggest that the principal investigator’s financial involvement with the sponsoring organization entity will in any way affect or impair the conduct of the research in accordance with the applicable University policies and the highest professional standards?

2. How will the interests of the University be maintained in consideration of the principal investigator’s interest in the sponsor?

3. Will the research project lead to the advancement of knowledge rather than to routine testing of primary benefit to the sponsor?

4. How will this research project contribute to the University’s mission of teaching, research, and public service?

5. Do the potential public benefits to be gained from undertaking this research outweigh any potential erosion of academic freedom, collegiality, or public trust?

6. Are the best interests of the University and the public served by granting an exclusive license to the sponsor?
F. **Make a Recommendation Providing the Necessary Documentation and Indicating:**

- Acceptance of the gift or grant.
- Non-acceptance of the gift or grant.
- Modification, specifying what modifications need to be made, or what conditions need to be imposed.
Attachment to Guidelines

Sample Form

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR’S
ADDENDUM TO STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTEREST

Campus

Principal Investigator ________________________________
_________________________ NAME DATE

Research Project ________________________________

Proposed Sponsor ________________________________

Introduction

(Include here information about the research process on the campus for review of disclosures of financial interest; reasons additional information is needed; and any other relevant information.)
Request for Information (recommended questions)

1. Describe the nature of your financial interest in the sponsor, such as your responsibilities as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, consultant, ownership interest including actual or promised options or convertible securities or loans, and the relationship between that interest or position and this research project. Please indicate if there are any written agreements between you and the sponsor.

2. Describe to the extent possible the potential financial effect of this project on the sponsor.

3. Explain if you separately, or along with your spouse, registered domestic partner, and or dependent children, own 10% or more of the entity sponsoring this research project.

4. Describe your role in the research program of the sponsoring entity.

5. Describe in some detail if the research project involves:

   a. testing;

   b. licensing or other approval of any product or device by a governmental agency; or
c. development of any product or other device of potential economic value to the sponsor.

6. Explain how the research will lead to the extension of knowledge, to an increased effectiveness in teaching, or will provide a public service.

7. Provide funding information about the project:

   a. Is the sponsor funding the full cost of the project?

   b. If other funding sources are involved in this research project, please indicate who they are and the dollar value of their support.

   c. Will the University be contributing to this project, either by supporting faculty or other salaries, or by directly providing supplies, equipment, or facilities?

8. Describe any participation of the sponsor in deciding the direction of the research, once begun.

9. Describe the role of students in the research project.
10. Indicate whether selection of topics for students will be restricted by commercial considerations.

11. Describe how the terms of your relationship with the sponsor could affect the free exchange of ideas, information, and materials among students and faculty.

12. Describe how the terms of your relationship with the sponsor could affect publication or other dissemination of research results by faculty or students involved in the project.

13. Indicate whether the contract, grant, or gift funding this research will require the granting of an exclusive license to the sponsor.

14. Indicate whether the sponsor will be providing any proprietary data for use on the project.

15. Indicate whether possession of proprietary data will require controls on access to the research data. Please describe any such requirements.

(Please include any additional information that may be of use to the committee in reviewing the proposal, including any background documentation about the development of the project,
related research interests, negotiations or other correspondence with the sponsor, and a proposed budget if one has not already been submitted.)

______________________________
SIGNATURE

______________________________
DATE
Privacy Statement

The State of California Information Practices Act of 1977 (effective July 1, 1978) requires the University to provide the following information to individuals who are asked to supply information about themselves: The principal purpose for requesting the above information is to accomplish the independent and substantive review of positive financial disclosures as required by the Policy on Disclosure of Financial Interest in Private Sponsors of Research (Revised March 1984 xxxxx xx, 2009). University policy and State law authorize maintenance of this information. Submission of this information is mandatory. The consequences of not providing all or any part of the requested information could be nonacceptance of your award from the proposed sponsor. The information is a public record under University policy and State law. Individuals have the right to review their own records in accordance with Academic Personnel Manual, Section 160. Information about this records policy may be obtained from the campus or Office of the President—Office of Academic Affairs. The officials responsible for maintaining the information collected on this form are the (appropriate campus officer) and the Senior Provost and Executive Vice President—Academic Affairs.

1 This statement must be included in the form.