December 11, 2014

Mary Gilly
Chair, UC Academic Council

Re: Proposed Revisions to Academic Personnel Manual (APM) Sections 133-17-g-j, 210-1-c & -d, 220-18-b and 760-30-a

Dear Mary,

The Executive Board of the UCLA Academic Senate discussed the proposed revisions to APM 133-17-g-j; APM 210-1-c & -d; APM - 220-18-b; and APM - 760-30-a at its meeting on December 4, 2014. The individual responses from our various committees are available online.

The Board itself raised no objections to the revisions of APM 133-17. Some members noted the Committee on Academic Personnel suggestion that such requests should be handled by the Vice Chancellor of Academic Personnel on a case by case basis. It was clarified that the Chancellor (or VC, as designee), and not the Senate, holds the authority to grant such requests.

In general, the Board found the revised language in APM 210 acceptable; however, some commented on language in the cover letter and were troubled by differences between the language there and the actual provisions in the APM 210 proposal. Also, under 210-1-d, the Board felt that the reference to “California’s diverse population” was too narrow and recommended changing to “a diverse population.”

Please feel free to contact me if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

Joel D. Aberbach
Chair, Academic Senate

cc: Dan Hare, Vice Chair, Academic Council
    Hilary Baxter, Executive Director, Systemwide Academic Senate
    Todd Giedt, Associate Director, Systemwide Academic Senate
    Michael LaBriola, Principal Policy Analyst, Systemwide Academic Senate
    Linda Mohr, Chief Administrative Officer, UCLA Academic Senate